

# **Application Review Report**

## APPLICATION: 16-1829 DEV, RIVERFRONT PARK GREAT FLOODS PLAY AREA

Sponsor: Spokane City Parks & Rec Dept Program: Land and Water Conservation Status: Application Submitted

# **APPLICATION REVIEW**

#### LINKS TO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Development Projects - Manual 4
Long Term Obligations - Manual 7
Developing a PowerPoint Presentation
Land and Water Conservation Fund
Grant Applicant's To-Do-Lists
LWCF Grant Applicant's To-Do-Lists

### **ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS**

Technical Review, May 11 - 10:35

#### **Evaluator Comments**

Include more photos/images of what the site will look like when completed. Create a more dynamic presentation that showcases not only the new playground, but also Riverfront Park as well. I will send you a couple presentation examples of ppt's that you are competing against that the evaluators really liked.

Do better with graphics, more of them

Slide 20 plan view is big and drawings small - more concepts that will be used and link to stakeholders

Too many aerial photos - too many photos of the basalt bluff

Want to see more of the design, use of the same slides/photos too often

Explain how you meet the federal program goals rather than listing them

Who are your users? Who does this serve??? Answer the NEED detailed criteria

Show the entire master plan and briefly explain

How does this area fit into the rest of the park

ADA accessible viewpoint - what is this

Need to sell Riverfront Park more - these people don't know about it, its in Spokane for Pete's sake, is that where Gonzaga is?

More technical design docs showing how you integrate accessibility - need more info about this or it is all just ideas

LWCF made a significant investment in 1978 revise statement about this in your narrative

## **Grant Manager Comments/Review**

\*\*\*\*Need more NEED criteria response and slides, service area mapping, location of other playgrounds, etc. This is the most critical criteria for many reasons. IT is worth the most points and it sets the stage for the rest of the presentation. MAke sure you address all of the detailed scoring criteria -

- 1. Need. Considering the availability of existing outdoor recreation facilities within the service area, what is the need for new or improved facilities? Describe your service area, the need for the project and how it relates to the service area, with quantifiable data that supports the following:
- Inventory of existing sites and facilities within the service area
- · Amount of use of existing sites and their condition
- · Populations or user groups in your service area that have unmet needs
- · Changing demographics
- Whether the project is named by location or type as a priority in an adopted plan such as a community's comprehensive plan (level of service), a state agency capital improvement plan, or a park or open space plan

#### Need to know what question you are answering. Show on slides. Use it as the title

More photos needed, more slides. To much narration on a stagnant slide

Too much sustainability info - focus more on the NEED assessment

Community support - show stakeholder meetings - pictures of these not a bunch of hands. List the meetings and the stakeholder process as bullets. Show kids participating in the planning process.

Perhaps do a little more explanation on the master plan for the whole park and how this fits into place. This will help in explaining the bond of \$64 mil and why money is needed to be leveraged to complete the park. from my understanding a good portion of the \$64 mil is going to be consumed by the bridges.

Cost efficiencies - need more info on this or you will not score well. Cost efficiencies is mostly evaluated by non state, non federal, non local match

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Development Metrics	Elements must be designed and built to provide barrier-free access. For information on accessibility check the RCO website at http://www.rco.wa.gov/grants/accessibility.shtml. Ground Disturbing Activities: No ground disturbing activities may begin prior to an executed project agreement and the completion of the consultation process for potential impacts to cultural and archaeological resources.
	Viewing platform - what is this? explain it in the presentation

# COSTS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Development Cost Estimates	Architectural, Administrative, and Engineering maximum(AAE) is 20% of allowable construction project costs. Project funding will be limited to the amount in the application.
Cost Summary	These totals set the grant-to-match ratio for funding and subsequent reimbursement. You will be responsible for all match should donations (if any) not materialize.
	Would be great to incorporate some type of donation from a local organization even if it is for a nominal amount.